

GOA STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION
'Kamat Towers', Seventh Floor, Patto, Panaji –Goa
Tel No. 0832-2437880/2437208 email: spio-gsic.goa@nic.in
website: www.gsic.goa.gov.in

Complaint No. 25/2024/SIC

Vishal Madgaonkar,
Near Mahadev Temple,
Behind Sub District Hospital,
Chicalim-Goa.

..... Complainant

V/s

The Public Information Officer,
Office of Superintendent,
Administrative Branch,
PHQ, Panaji-Goa.

.....Opponent

Shri. Atmaram R. Barve

State Information Commissioner

Filed on: 07/11/2024
Disposed on: 28/10/2025

ORDER

1. The present complaint arises out of the Right to Information (RTI) application dated 23/10/2024 filed by Vishal M. Madgaonkar Complainant herein and addressed to the Public Information Officer (PIO) at Police Head Quarters, Panaji-Goa wherein the Complainant had sought certified copies of documents present particularly listed in said application.
2. Vide communication dated 25/10/2024, the PIO at Police Head Quarters transferred the said RTI application under Section 6(3) of RTI Act to the PIO at Head Quarters in North as well as South Goa and also to the PIO's at IRBn, Altinho and Vice Principal, Police Training School Valpoi respectively.

3. Thereafter vide complaint memo dated 07/11/2024, the said Complainant approached this Commission.
4. Notices were issued on 17/01/2025 and matter came up to be heard from 04/03/2025 onwards.
5. The Complainant has chosen to remain present on only first date of hearing and thereafter he neither remained present in person or through any other authorised representative and has also not filed any rejoinder or written submission in the course of this proceeding.
6. It has been of contention of Complainant in his complaint memo that, the information sought was urgent in nature and as such the PIO has failed to provide the same within stipulated time period.
7. It is contended by the PIO that there is no malafide intent or denial of information in light of fact that the PIO immediately transferred the Complainant's RTI application to the public authorities referred above.
8. Upon perusal of complaint memo and submission on the part of the PIO, this Commission consider that, there appears to be no case of deliberate denial of information and that the Complainant has also failed to substantially establish the urgency of the information sought in the said complaint memo.
9. Therefore, in the light of above, the complaint stands rejected.
No order as to cost.
 - Parties to be provided authenticated copies of the order.
 - Aggrieved party if any, may move against this order by way of a Writ Petition as no further Appeal is provided

against this order under the Right to Information Act,
2005.

Sd/-

(ATMARAM R. BARVE)

State Information Commissioner